

## **REMARKS**

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kristen, Calkin and Wagner.

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 1, 3-14 are allowed, and claims 16-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Responsive to this, claim 18 is deleted and claim 15 is amended which is substantially the combination of original claims 15 and 18 so as to make the claimed invention more distinguishably patentable over the prior arts cited by the Examiner. It is believed that, the amended claim 15 has disclosed a structure whose construction and function are quite different from and patentably distinguishable over the cited prior arts. Therefore, it is believed that, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) should be removed, and the amended claim 1 should be allowable. Claims 19 and 21 are respectively amended to be dependent upon the amended claim 15.

It is further submitted that claims 16, 17 and 20, and the amended claims 19 and 21 should be allowable as they are dependent upon the amended claim 15 which is believed to be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Wu PI LIANG

PI-LIANG WU

P.O. Box 55-124,

Taichung, Taiwan